**Rules of Evidence**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Relevance** | **Opinion** | **Hearsay** | **Character** | **Direct Speech** | **Leading Question** | **Oppressive**  | **Argumentative** | **Harassing** | **Assumptive** |
| \*Evidence must prove or assist a fact that is in dispute\*Background evidence is not necessarily irrelevant | \*Witnesses may not draw conclusions based on their observed facts.\*Unless that witness is an 'expert' in a relevant field. | \*A witness informing what they heard *someone else saying* is not admissible (unless - this statement is put forward not to establish the truth but only that a statement was made) | \*Bad character cannot be led by prosecution\*Good character may be led by either team\*If good character is raised, the prosecution may cross-examine the defendant about bad character | \*Conversations discussed by the witness *must* be recited as it occurred\*eg:Brian said to me "can you please drive?"NOT:Brian asked me to drive | MAY BE ASKED ABOUT MATTERS **NOT** IN DISPUTE:\*Enables witness to relay information quickly so the 'real' matters can be discussed\*Useful to 'control' witness response to yes and no answersMAY NOT BE ASKED ABOUT MATTERS IN DISPUTE:\*Where the question suggests the answerEg:"was the car blue?" | \*The evidence is:-confusing-misleading-ambiguous-vague-unhelpful | \*If the witness argues what conclusions should be drawn | \*Treating a witness poorly  | \*A witness *may* give evidence based on an assumption\*If this assumption *cannot* be proved, then it is inadmissible  |

Be prepared to demonstrate awareness of the grounds for making or not making objections (making a considered response).